

Questions and Answers Regarding Shasta NextGen Railroad Corridor Crossing Plan Request for Proposals – S-00048

(Questions have been consolidated and edited for clarity)

Q1: Section 4 of the draft contract states that invoices must be mailed and that email submission is not acceptable. Can SRTA please confirm whether invoices and accompanying progress reports may be submitted electronically via email, or if physical hard-copy submission is required for this project?

A1: The draft Technical Services Agreement (TSA) provided as an example only and is subject to change. Past practices have allowed for email submission of invoices and progress reports and Section 4 will likely be updated accordingly.

Q2: Can you confirm that email submission (1 PDF) of the proposal will suffice, and hard copy is not required?

A2: An email submission with a single PDF with the proposal will suffice. Hard copies are not required in addition to email submissions.

Q3: Are covers, table of contents, and divider tabs excluded from the page count?

A3: Covers, tables of content, and divider are excluded from the page count.

Q4: Is Cost Proposal excluded from the page count?

A4: The Cost Proposal is an attachment and is excluded from the page count.

Q5: Are 11x17 sheets allowed for graphics, exhibits, tables, schedule, cost proposal - and each sheet will count as one page?

A5: Page sizes of 11x17 are allowed for graphics, exhibits, tables, and schedule. Each 11x17 sheet will count as one page. The Cost Proposal is an attachment and excluded from the page count.

Q6: Is SRTA looking at private crossings at all?

A6: The Shasta NextGen Railroad Corridor Crossing Plan will focus only on public crossings.

Q7: Are resumes required for key staff only?

A7: Yes.

Q8: The proposal scoring criteria currently adds up to 90 maximum possible points instead of the 100 listed. Will the scoring weight be adjusted?

A8: Yes. An addendum will be issued next week (the week beginning November 2, 2026) to amend this, and other items.